	FILED LERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	-
	JAN 2 5 2023	
CEN	TRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY	-

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

ISABEL RICO,

///

Defendant.

Case No. 2:10-CR-00381-FLA-1

ORDER OF DETENTION

[Fed. R. Crim. P. 31.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1)]

I.

On January 25, 2023, Defendant Isabel Rico ("Defendant") appeared before the Court for initial appearance on the petition and warrant for revocation of supervised release issued in this matter, Case No. 2:10-CR-00381-FLA-1. The Court appointed Claire Kenney of the Federal Public Defender's Office to represent Defendant.

II. 1 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. 2 § 3143(a) following Defendant's arrest for alleged violation(s) of the terms of 3 Defendant's \square probation / \boxtimes supervised release, the Court finds that: 4 X Defendant submitted to the Government's Request for A. 5 Detention; 6 7 Defendant has not carried her burden of establishing by clear B. \boxtimes 8 and convincing evidence that she will appear for further proceedings as required if 9 released [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)]. This finding is based on: 10 History of absconding from supervision; 11 History of evading arrest; 12 Nature and extent of violation conduct; 13 Prior probation violation; 14 Prior failure to report for drug testing; 15 Unemployment status; failure to seek employment. 16 17 \boxtimes Defendant has not carried her burden of establishing by clear D. 18 and convincing evidence that she will not endanger the safety of any other person 19 or the community if released [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)]. This finding is based on: 20 Criminal history including narcotics and domestic violence. 21 22 III. 23 In reaching this decision, the Court considered: (a) the nature and 24 circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime 25 of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled 26 substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence 27 against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and 28

1	(d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18
2	U.S.C. § 3142(g).] The Court also considered the report and recommendation of
3	the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.
4	IV.
5	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant be detained pending further
6	proceedings.
7	
8	
9	Dated: January 25, 2023 MARIA A. AUDERO
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	